#### **EO-93**

# Olaf Reports...

# FOR YOUR EYES ONLY

#### Eye-opening Research Reports for Thoughtful Believers

Published exclusively for your private use.

Not for redistribution.

Issue 93

#### In this issue:

Preface to Sons of Belial: The Lost Pyramid Prophecy Research Report: EO-93: Sons of Belial I Editor & Publisher: Olaf H. Hage

Long-term subscribers thoroughly familiar with the publication may submit queries to write short research articles or updates. Query should indicate estimated length, specific topic and viewpoint, any qualifications, and sources or supporting evidence consulted. Please allow 1-2 months for reply while we evaluate the idea and sources. If accepted, article must include your name, qualifications (if needed), and documentation sufficient for someone to verify sources, following the format of the publication. Final submissions must be in MS Word 12-point Times New Roman. We reserve the right to reject, edit, or rewrite as needed. If rejected, we may write our own article or other works on the same or similar topic, but credit will be given for ideas not previously considered by the editor. There is no payment for articles, but links to websites or other referrals can be included at the author's request. Unless prior arrangements are made, all published articles become the property of the publisher. Republication of such articles is by written permission only.

Vol. 8, No. 9

Entire contents: Copyright 2016 by Olaf H. Hage. All rights reserved.

This publication or any part thereof may not be copied, emailed, sent or transmitted by any means, without the express written permission of the copyright holder.

Contact: olaf.hage@whitestonefoundation.org

"For Your Eyes Only" is \$15/issue. Published monthly.

### Sons of Belial:

## The Spiritual Hosts of Wickedness

For we wrestle not against (our fellow human) flesh and blood, but against the principalities, against the authorities, against the world-rulers of the darkness of this age, against the spiritual hosts of wickedness in the heavens above. (Ephesians 6:12)

#### **Preface: The Lost Pyramid Prophecy**

Humanity has, it seems, always been divided into two conflicting camps. Just as the ancient peoples of the world had a nearly universal tale of a Great Flood, so also did they tell the story of the two primordial brothers at the dawn of civilization: the herder and the farmer. The two contended together until the farmer killed the herder and fled into exile to form a clan that has never been at peace with the rest of the human family.

In the Biblical account, of course, this is the story of Cain, the farmer, who kills his brother Abel, the shepherd. Cain attempts to conceal the body, but God is not fooled. Cain is sent into exile with his twin sister-wife. The pair are doomed to wander the earth, yet inexplicably, we are told that Cain defiantly stops and builds a city shortly after a son is born to him. (Genesis 4:17) He has clearly not repented, but remains openly defiant of God.

In the later version given by the Jewish historian Josephus at the close of the first century, writing in his *Antiquities of the Jews*, he relates a tradition that Cain actually built two cities: Enoch and Ur, supposedly named after his first two sons. The latter may be named after Hor, a dimly-recalled figure known to the Egyptians as "Horus the Elder."

If Cain and his sister-wife were actually the biblical versions of Osiris and Isis, it would solve a host of mysteries about the origin of this strange couple.

The Egyptians claimed that Osiris had migrated into the Nile Valley from a land due east of Egypt, that is, from the region of the Holy Land, or more precisely, from just south of it, near the ancient caves that became Petra in Jordan. These caves were first known as the home of the "Horites" or "Orites" (*Jordan, Past & Present*, by E. Borgia, Vision S.r.l., Rome, 2001, p. 8). Much later they became a colony of Edom. To this day, one of the most prominent caves at Petra is still called the *Khaznat el-Faroun* or "Treasury of the Pharaoh" although the identity of this legend's Egyptian ruler is unknown (Borgia, p. 31). However, this "Cave of Treasures" was early in the Christian era linked to the family of Adam and Eve and said to have been their home and the original burial place of Adam (*The Book of Adam and Eve* II:1-9).

These mysteries would be solved if Osiris, the first Pharaoh, had been born in that cave and claimed the right of inheritance to the relics and dominion of Adam and Eve.

This now leads to the claims of the other side of the family, namely, of **Seth**, who was declared the successor of the slain Abel and who fathered a royal priestly line. They argued that Cain-Osiris had disqualified himself and had lost his eldership right to inherit.

The history of Mankind was now set upon an inevitable series of clashes between the two contending royal lineages. The Egyptians, as might be expected, painted Seth as the villain, the source of all the evils of the world, blaming him for every twist of fate or ill weather. Moreover, they said that, while Osiris was the secret son of their god **Ra**, Seth was merely the son of **Geb** ("Geh-Ab"), the "earth-father" (a play on words denoting Adam's origin from the dust of *Adamah*, or "mother-earth."

The rabbinical tradition did not disagree, except it identified the secret father of Cain-Osiris as **Satan** (*Legends of the Bible* by Louis Ginzberg, The Jewish Publication Society of America, Philadelphia, 1975, p. 54). This set up the equation **Ra = Satan**, and it followed that the rest of the hierarchy of Ra's Egyptian pantheon, who were the models for much of the rest of the gentile world's ancient deities, were fallen angels or their offspring known as the sons of the *Nephilim*. This identification was inherited by early Christians, but was often rejected by the Gnostic heretics, who sometimes elevated select angels to exalted status.

The Catholic Church, hoping to curry favor with all but the Jews, tried to chart a middle course in which some angels were adored; many pagan gods and goddesses became Catholic saints and Cain was just an errant son of Adam. Rome has since tried to renounce some of its fake saints, but the faithful still continue to honor them.

For many people today, all these things are nonsense. They dismiss it all as "myth." Yet the old Jewish legends and their parallel traditions among other ancient cultures around the world (even in India, China and the Americas) suggest otherwise. Is it possible that we yet possess evidence of these tales from those nearly-forgotten epochs?

The Bible came to refer to the line of Cain as the *Sons of Belial*. And it perhaps is not hard to understand that beleaguered Israelite leaders would see themselves as a tiny band of shepherds amid a sea of gentile adversaries. The Israelite people, then, became but a *Little Flock* among the pagan wolves of *Belial*.

The term "The Little Flock," which Jesus employed in His sermons, had long been an *astrological* reference to the sign we now call "The Little Dipper." Many zodiac terms occur in the New Testament, such as Jesus' reference to "the house" of a man carrying a "Water Jar," the common term for the sign of Aquarius at the time. The New Testament book with the most zodiacal allusions is *Revelation*, a book of <u>prophecy</u>.

In those days, astronomy and astrology were the same. Jewish tradition said that Adam's son Seth, and his priests, not only had <u>named the stars</u> and had <u>defined the constellations of the zodiac</u>, but had also built *two* monuments *in Egypt* in order to preserve their *prophetic* wisdom to survive *two* future age-ending cataclysms (at least to them):

They (Seth's line) were also the <u>inventors</u> of that specific science that deals with <u>the heavenly bodies and their motions</u>. And to prevent their discoveries from being lost before they could be <u>fully-known</u> (by the world), reacting to <u>Adam's prediction</u> that "the world will be destroyed at a (future) time by the fury of fire, but at another time by a violent deluge of water," they (Seth's line) made 'TWO (large) MONUMENTS, one of <u>brick</u>, the other of <u>stone</u>. They inscribed their discoveries on both of them, so that, in case the brick monument was destroyed by a flood, the stone edifice might survive and reveal these discoveries to <u>mankind</u> and <u>reveal</u> that the other brick monument had also been erected by them. Now <u>this</u> (stone structure) <u>remains</u> in the land of Siriad (Sirius = Egypt) to this day. (Josephus, <u>Antiquities</u> I:ii:3; see also Ginzberg <u>Legends</u>, p. 62; my rendering in modern English for clarity)

Josephus said <u>the expected Deluge</u> has already occurred. I reckon it as the melt-off at the end of the last ice age, <u>when global sea-level abruptly rose 300 feet</u> causing an up-lift of

America: A huge tidal wave swept the Atlantic, flooded the Mediterranean basin, and washed the Caucasus and Mount Ararat. (Spain, North Africa, Italy, Greece, Turkey, and the Mideast have since dried out, but the new sea-level has left submerged all the old ice-age settlements that previously flourished on the world's now-flooded seacoasts.)

The destruction by fire has **not** yet happened. So the stone monument of Seth's priests still stands. The quest for it has focused on the Sphinx, perhaps 9,000 years old, and the Great Pyramid, whose core masonry may be as old, if not older (**Voyages of the Pyramid Builders** by Robert M. Schoch, Tarcher-Penguin, NY, 2004, pp. 278-298).

The rabbis said Seth's *language*, capable of being <u>inscribed</u> in either stone or brick, was intended to survive both the Deluge <u>and the future destruction of the world by fire</u>. Moreover, they expected the survivors, even of *the fire yet to come*, to be able to <u>understand</u> those inscribed messages.

This is a puzzle, for scholars say <u>no such ice-age language existed</u>. Although they dispute whether language originated north or south of the Black Sea, they date all western languages 6,000-8,500 or so years ago, <u>not even half-way back to the ice age</u> ("Language Wars" by Michael Butler, *Scientific American*, May, 2016, pp. 60-65).

The Sumerian archaeologists insisted Sumer was first to perfect written language. They rejected all competing claims so that they alone sat upon the academic throne ruling over the remains of the supposed first true human civilization.

Discoveries far older than Sumer now refute such claims ("The Birth of Religion" by Charles Mann, *National Geographic*, Jun., 2011, pp. 34-59). For example, **Gobekli Tepe** (shown to the right), near **Edessa** (Urfa) in Turkey, had **inscribed symbols** *over* 6,000 *years before Sumer*. They are not mere symmetrical decoration, although they are on pillars representing men (pp. 34, 44). So far,



symbols are found only on **Gobekli Tepe's** <u>oldest</u> and finest pillars, mysteriously buried roughly 65 years after being set up c. **11,600 years ago** (pp. 34, 48).

These symbols may read right-to-left like Hebrew, but have shapes like our letters (originally Phoenician): I, U (= V), C (= L), D, W (= S), H and an H-like N. The Russian alphabet still uses this H form as its N. The I, V, L, C, and D shapes match R and R and R and R are R as unusual, using only a few letters as numbers. Hebrew and Greek used the whole

alphabet; corresponding letters usually had the same numerical values. Hebrew, Greek and Latin shared their basic alphabet with the <u>Phoenician</u> language. (See Appendix A)

Latin was derived from Phoenician around 750 B.C. Yet the way Latin used its handful of numerals made it difficult to do calculations. They were so hard to use that calculating its calendar (which, we shall see, was derived from the priestly house of Eli) became a hopeless muddle by the time of Julius Caesar. So he asked Cleopatra's Egyptian advisor, Sosigenes, to come to Italy and completely revise Rome's antiquated calendar.

Julius Caesar should have realized that the cumbersome Roman numerals were part of the problem. After Caesar's death, his new calendar quickly fell into error. It is now generally accepted that the Byzantine Empire's use of Roman numerals caused it to linger in an unscientific Dark Age while the superior Arabic number system (which we also now use) let Islam make major advancements in spite of its humble desert origins.

Could it be that Latin was handicapped by a too-primitive numbering method that had somehow survived for thousands of years in spite of its obvious shortcomings?

The Latin system was no longer competitive with newer mathematical ideas. It was an <u>obsolete</u> system: <u>a last, lingering remnant of a very ancient system</u> that had long outlived its usefulness in most applications. Why did people cling to it for so long?

The house of the High Priest Eli, exiled by Solomon from his Temple (I Kings 1:1-2:35), well before the founding of Rome's calendar, partially migrated to Phoenician city of Tyre (like other Israelites: I Kings 7:13-14). These priests then accompanied the Phoenician sailors who settled Rome. So around 750 B.C., priests of Eli brought a flawed version of their old lunar calendar to Rome. They may also have brought those clumsy Latin numerals.

Yet by 750 B.C., Phoenicia and Israel were not using this obsolete numeral system. Therefore, if the house of **Eli** was using these numerals, it must have inherited them from a much earlier time, most likely from their *secret* priestly wisdom handed down by their ancient Abrahamic ancestors. Could these symbols go back to **Gobekli Tepe**?

Deluge survivors journeyed down the Euphrates to Edessa and used symbols like those at neighboring Gobekli Tepe. After their descendants in Abraham's family left Gobekli Tepe and Edessa (Ur of the Chaldees: p. 48), they retained knowledge of these nearly-forgotten symbols. They would have handed them down to elders of the family, likely those who later became the priests of Levi. The chief line of Levi, that of the High Priests of the calendar-initiating Passover season, would have handed them down to their chief heirs, the house of Eli, who ultimately would have brought them to Rome.

So "sacred" did these ancient letter-numbers become that *we* still use them at least 2,770 years after the house of Eli had first carried them to Italy. We use them to number chapters of books, to inscribe dates on cornerstones, and for any situation where there is a desire to create a formal image of long-standing <u>authority</u>. This authoritative aura derives directly from their great antiquity and their origin as <u>a secret priestly system</u> dating to the primitive age of Noah, the age of Gobekli Tepe, c. 11,600 years ago (p. 34).

There is a possible test to see if **Gobekli Tepe** might have used these symbols as numbers. Languages like Greek distinguished numbers from letters either by <u>underlining</u> or by making <u>a</u> <u>line over them</u>. Latin did both.

Did any of the "letters" at Gobekli Tepe have lines both over and under them?

Yes. The lines were carefully carved into the stone *after* the letter-symbols were in place, whereas the letters themselves are raised above the background, something that requires advanced planning <u>beforehand</u>. This suggests **Gobekli Tepe** may forecast the use of symbols both as letters and numbers. The use of <u>underlining as a final step</u>, *after* the symbols were formed, also forecasts the way Roman numerals were later carved.

If **Gobekli Tepe's** symbols have survived because they embodied Seth's priestly secrets, could those on the pillar have been clues to the mysterious lost messages of Seth?

**Gobekli Tepe** has *both* carved megalithic <u>stones</u> and <u>brick</u> walls (p. 37). So these technologies also date to the end of the last ice age, arguably the time of Noah, and they are found <u>in the very land where the ark was said to have come to rest: Turkey</u>.

Moreover, the most exquisitely carved standing stones were soon <u>buried</u> by the builders, <u>presumably to preserve</u> them for a future generation, for they never uncovered them again. Only in our own generation have German archaeologists rediscovered the site and carefully removed the mound of dirt the builders had piled upon them (p. 48).

We need to decipher these Noah-era inscriptions at **Gobekli Tepe**. So far, only one pillar's inscriptions have received much publicity. What might they mean?

The largest symbols (looking like capital letters) read "C H C H D" and then, on the front side of the stone, in smaller versions: "I H N(?)" and "I I." Some wear and subtlety in the formation of the symbols makes interpretation disputable. Between the small symbols on the front is a prominent 'logo' combining two symbols, a "W"-shaped symbol nestled inside a giant "U" (originally the same letter as "V" in Latin).

Our "W" was <u>not</u> in Latin. But a "W" <u>was</u> in Phoenician. It represented "teeth" and is now an "S" (*American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*) Houghton-Mifflin, NY, 1969, p. 1139a; herein cited as "AHD"). So their "WU" ("ShU") logo may signify "Teeth in a Mouth," suggesting an <u>authoritative</u> or Divine "Speaker" or "Word."

We use "Shh!" to hush people to hear something <u>important</u>. Egypt's Shu was the <u>authoritative</u> grandfather of Osiris. "Sh" inserted into "YHWH" (the Hebrew name of God) makes "YHShWH," that is, "He who is The Savior," i.e., "The Word of God."

The symbols evolved from **Gobekli Tepe's** burial (c. **8,250** B.C.: Mann *Nat. Geo.* p. 48) until Rome (c. **750** BC). "C" in Phoenician became the Latin "L" (AHD p. 730a). Also, Phoenician had no vowels, nor lower-case letters. So a vowel sound was <u>inferred</u> with each consonantal sound, as with an "E" sound after "D."

It is possible the **Gobekli Tepe** "**H**" may have served a vowel function if it had a soft "**H**"-like pronunciation. Indeed, our own letter "**E**" was derived from a Phoenician "**H**" (**AHD** p. 408a). So the **Gobekli Tepe** "**H**" may have been used as a general vowel-like indicator. It is even possible their "**H**" could be <u>several</u> vowels, depending upon, for example, where the cross-bar was placed between the two uprights.

The **Gobekli Tepe** "C" symbol looks like a reversed Hebrew letter "Kapf (5)," which has a "K" sound. On the other hand, the ancient "C" (if we mean the 3<sup>rd</sup> letter in their alphabet) became a "Gimel" or "G" sound in Hebrew (AHD p. 184a).

Is **Gobekli Tepe's** "C H C H D" decipherable? It may be "KEKED" "GOGAD" or "LALED," if read left-to-right like Latin. Read right-to-left, it could be "DEKEK" "DAGOG" "DELAL" or *all 6 possibilities*: Hebrew is notorious for puns & word-play.

Some are Hebrew: "GOGAD" could be "Supreme Assembly," the assumed function of Gobekli Tepe (Mann, p. 58). Another is "DELAL": "Kneel!" or "Submit!" (Strong's #1809). "DeoHalhal" is another way to read this: "God be Praised!" On the front of the stone may be references to Noah or Noe ("NHI" = "NoHIh") or Egypt's Shu ("WU" = "ShU), fitting the post-Deluge era when it was carved. "YIShU-NHI" is the whole reading of the front side: "He who is Savior of Noah." Altogether the pillar may have read: "God be praised! He who is Savior of Noah!" As noted, the "WU" ("ShU") "logo" might be a "Savior" emblem of God, and "YIShU" a forerunner of "Yehshua," the "Word of God." So Gobekli Tepe may have been built by the prophetic priests of Seth.

All this brings us back to the rabbinic claims that, before the Flood, the priests of Seth had built both brick and stone monuments and had inscribed each of them with prophetic symbols

that <u>we</u> would still be able to read at the end of this age. The Gobekli Tepe site demonstrates that all these requisite skills <u>did</u> exist at the time in question.

Once again, some Jewish traditions, even if not in the Bible, are consistent with archaeological <u>facts</u>, but not necessarily with the too-often anti-biblical <u>opinions</u> of secular scholars. We must be careful not to let their biased opinions and interpretations prevent us from studying the hard evidence of their archaeology and science.

Is there a stone monument of Seth in Egypt? The Sphinx has no carved symbols. But before Arabs stripped its outer casing stones 800 years ago, Herodotus (c. 443 B.C.) saw the Great Pyramid covered with both hand-written script and with carved symbols (Herodotus, Histories II:124-125). The priests told him the script described the workers' wages, but they did not (or could not) explain the symbols carved into its stone (ibid.).



The outer casing stones were added by Joseph, c. **4,500** B.C., by my re-calibration of Joseph's life, based upon an old rabbinical 7-fold chronology of *Genesis*. Joseph could have known Seth's symbols (Genesis 49:22-26; I Chronicles 5:1). He may have copied onto his new casing stones old inscriptions he found on the ancient inner core masonry he covered up when the Great Pyramid structure was erected on it (cf. Pyramid Quest by Robert M. Schoch, geologist, and R. A. McNally, Tarcher-Penguin, NY, 2005, pp. 71-81, 204-209).

Astronomy can be used as a long-term prophetic "clock." So we should be alert to <u>astronomical</u> indications in the Great Pyramid. We should look for <u>Seth's star-sign clues that might point to events at the end of this age</u>; they may be forerunners to the age-ending "*fire* <u>next time</u>" in the prophecies (II Peter 3:7).

Deep within the Pyramid are all sorts of passages that investigators have been able to explore. But there are also *four* mysterious "air-shafts" that would have been cut off by the outer <u>casing-stones</u>. These four shafts required an enormous effort to create. They were not <u>bored</u> through the stone, but <u>built</u> into it. Given that each shaft had to pass at strange angles through dozens of stones in the Pyramid, <u>the shafts required astonishing stone-cutting *alignment* to keep them straight throughout their great length.</u>

It has long been recognized by everyone that these four shafts were aligned with <a href="mailto:astronomical">astronomical</a> objects of great importance to the designer. Intense efforts were made to find the

stars the shafts pointed to, at the time marked by two inscribed lines aimed at the Pleiades in its entrance passage (i.e. when these stars aligned in *c.* 2,133-2,141 B.C.).

Here is what the measurements have revealed:

Two shafts exit the upper King's Chamber, and two emerge from the lower Queen's Chamber. One shaft of <u>each</u> chamber points to the north and one to the south.

The King's Chamber's northern air-shaft points to **Alpha Draconis**, the brightest star of **Draco**, the **Dragon**. That hints at an end-time significance (Revelation 12). The southern shaft points at the belt of *Orion*, whose three stars, researchers match up with the three Giza Pyramids. They identify this southern air-shaft star with the Great Pyramid itself.

Is the shaft simply pointing at its own star?

Egypt identified *Orion* as *Osiris*, whose near-death and revival matches the Beast of *Revelation*. So the Pyramid may prophesy about the times of *the Beast*. The Bible says *the Beast* has <u>eight</u> historical heads. Most believe these <u>began</u> with Egypt (specifically *Osiris*) and end with his "image" as *the Beast* or *Antichrist* of the Last Days. Thus it appears this second shaft of the King's Chamber also has an end-time motif (Revelation 17:11).

What about the Queen's Chamber?

Its southern shaft points at *Sirius*, star of *Isis*. Jewish tradition says that, when *Cain* was exiled with his "wife" ("*Auset*," the word for *Isis'* in Egyptian), he left with a <u>dog</u> (Ginzberg, p. 58). *Sirius* is **Canus** Major, the **Dog** Star. The rabbinical view is based upon her canine behavior (Psalms 22). Egypt identified this brilliant star with its Queen *Isis*. So the connection of this *Isis/Sirius* shaft with the Queen's Chamber makes sense.

As for the end-time, the shaft may point at her as *the False Prophetess* (Revelation 13).

What then is the northern shaft of the Queen's Chamber? By the logic of the other three shafts and their possible end-time identifications, we would expect this one to point at the clone of *Osiris*, the child *Horus* born to *Isis*: He should be *the Image of the Beast* (Revelation 13:14), the Tribulation's *False Christ*, possibly possessed by *Cain*.

At what star, then, does this fourth shaft point?

It points at a **red** star of the **Little Dipper's** cup, <u>its **second**</u> brightest star. This star was also known in China as "**The Emperor**" (**Star Names: Their Lore and Meaning** by Richard Hinckley Allen, Dover, NY, 1899, reprinted in 1963, p. 459).

One may think the end-time biblical prophetic parallels are a bit muddled by this final shaft. Not so: The **Little Dipper** denotes the <u>timing</u> of the Last Days, because its <u>brightest</u> star has now become the North Star.

Moreover, the *Little Dipper* and *Ursa Minor* ("Little Bear"), are modern names. The Greeks called it "*Kunosoura*," the "*Dog's Tail*" (AHD: p. 329a). If the Dog were *Isis*, then her "tail" may be her cloned son *Horus*. The word for Dog is "*Kuon*" in Greek (*ibid.*), and that might well derive from *QYN*, the original Hebrew for "*Cain*." So "*Cain's Tail*" would likewise refer to his son *Horus*, or prophetically, to the future cloned *Image of the Beast* (Revelation 13:14-15, compare with Gen. 3:15).

We may also interpret "Kunosoura" as an Egyptian pun on "Cain-Asar." That may make the Little Dipper a sign of "Cain-Osiris" in Egyptian astrology. In fact, the Dendera zodiac near Abydos is claimed to show this very sign as Anubis, the jackal-dog who judges the souls of the dead, as Cain does in some myths of the "Hound of Hell" (The Traveler's Key to Ancient Egypt by John Anthony West, Quest Books, Wheaton Illinois, 1995, p. 404; Turner pp. 58b, 119a). Scholars have admitted that they do not know the true origin of the terms "Kunosoura" or "Kuon-Osouris" (Allen, pp. 447-460).

But what was the ancient name of this sign from the viewpoint of Seth's priests?

Their identification was <u>The Little Flock</u>, also known as *The Sheepfold*. (*The Secret Message of the Zodiac* by Troy Lawrence, Here's Life, CA, 1990, p. 50).

How can the final (I John 2:18) *False Christ*, the cloned *Image of the Beast*, be part of the genuine church, **The Little Flock**, of the Last Days?

It makes perfect sense: If the *Antichrist* is to <u>fool</u> Christians (Matthew 24:4-11, II Thessalonians 2:1-12, Revelation 13:1-18), then he must be viewed by many *as if* he were the <u>true</u> Christ.

Some have argued that <u>The Little Flock</u> represents the southern kingdom of Judah, while the <u>Big Dipper</u>, in this theory, is the northern kingdom of Israel (Lawrence p. 50). The ancestors of <u>Jesus came from the southern</u>, or <u>Little Flock</u>, family of <u>David</u>. Any pretender to be Messiah would, of course, have to <u>claim</u> to be of David's line.

The Great Pyramid, then, *if* Joseph encoded it with the prophecies of Seth, seems to identify <u>our</u> time as the End, when the Bible says the *Dragon* and *Beast* rule as kings over <u>all</u> nations (Revelation 13:1-8), and when the *False Prophetess Isis* (masquerading as 'Mary'?) causes a living *Image of the Beast* to be "made" or cloned (Revelation 13:14-15).

As for Seth's prophecy of *a fiery conflagration*, the star in *Orion* that matches up with the Pyramid lies amid a supernova cloud of red-hot debris.

Also, prophecy says Christ will return at the Summer Solstice, hence, when the Two Witnesses die: Jesus specified "the Gate of Summer" or Summer Solstice as the period He would come (Matthew 24:32-33; Luke 29:36). Due to the 50% increase in the earth's spin (Matthew 24:22, Revelation 8:12), people will reckon it Christmas by their calendar (Revelation 11:10). The Great Pyramid was aligned so that, at noon near the Summer Solstice, it casts no shadow (Study in Pyramidology by E. Raymond Capt, Artisan, 1986, p. 23). Instead, the usually shaded north face (whose shafts point at the Dragon and Image of the Beast who hides in The Little Flock) is suddenly lit up by the fiery sun at noon around the Summer Solstice. Finally, when Moses retrieved Joseph's "bones" (scrolls), he may have found a written copy of Seth's fiery warnings of the Last Days, now covered by Joseph's Pyramid. Those warnings are intended not only for the Little Flock, but to be "fully known....to mankind." So Moses handed them down to the prophets, who wrote them for Israel and for the Little Flock's Two Witnesses, who are to tell them to the world (Revelation 11:3-10).

# Sons of BLICELL Part I: Satan's Fall

EO-93 Sons of Belial I:
"Satan's Fall"
Vol. 8, No. 9; \$15/issue
Copyright 2016 by Olaf H. Hage
All rights reserved.

By Olaf Hage

Evil has a secret.

Buried deep within catacombs of Darkness lies the fetid lineage of the *Nephilim*, the remnant offspring of the fallen angels who once resided in the heavens...

Carved into the jagged sinews of humanity like blood-oaths of an ancient cult, this forgotten clan has not only managed to <u>survive</u> (Genesis 6:4), but has now infiltrated even our most sacred halls and has seated itself upon the world's thrones of power (Luke 4:5-6).

Scattered about the Bible are 17 cryptic references to a *Nephilim*-like being called "*Belial*" and his unrighteous offspring. Who are these mysterious *Sons of Belial*?

Are they the literal genetic children of someone named **Belial**?

Are they sons of <u>possession</u>, "adopted" by some evil spiritual entity?

Or does "Sons of Belial" have some symbolic meaning?

Most Bible commentators have assumed, apparently with limited investigation, that the term is equivalent to "bad boys" or "ne'er-do-wells"—those delinquents of society who delight in defying authority.

But what if there is truth in all these ideas?

What if society's defiant miscreants act that way because of something they (and perhaps the rest of us) have <u>inherited</u>, something that can be *activated by a <u>catalyst</u>* which makes them spiritually vulnerable to <u>possession</u>?

And if this is true, should there not also be "<u>Daughters of Beliat</u>" dabbling in the mists of mayhem, like the witches of Endor boiling up mischief?

Finally, what if the most sinister secret of *Belial* is that mankind is teetering on the precipice of an Evil, <u>deliberately</u> placed there, ages ago, standing perilously close to the brink of a fiery abyss?

Many scholars have been cautiously peering over that cliff, investigating the hosts of its plunging denizens: The *Illuminati*, the Nazis, the Roshaniyya (a 16<sup>th</sup> century Sufi-Islamic cult promoting socialism), the Cainites, and the multifarious other occult priesthoods and secret societies sworn to Luciferian schemes of corruption, conquest, or suicidal cataclysm.

But anyone who surveys the writings in this field can see that most investigators have entered in with little or no foreboding concerning the spiritual dangers they might confront when probing the chasm of *Belial*.

The risk of falling into *Belial's* pit of wickedness is growing. Three trends are making mankind increasingly vulnerable:

- 1) The progressive undermining of the average person's vague beliefs about God and Scripture, leading the deceived into a wilderness of confused uncertainty until they succumb to any plausible pseudo-philosophy they encounter...
- 2) The growing temptation to flirt with seemingly small violations of God's laws or to ignore the common sense restrictions of a civilized society until those who go astray eventually become openly defiant of all authority...
- 3) The steady erosion of the innate human ability to sense right and wrong (the numbing of one's conscience and awareness of guilt in wrong-doing); this lost conscience (I Timothy 4:1-3) ends in the chill miasma of an amoral darkness, from which they can no longer find the light to escape...

As a Christian, I believe we need to be held safe by the hand of Christ (Romans 5:8, 8:38-39, I Timothy 1:15, Matthew 9:13). I have endeavored to build an argument upon a sure foundation, the firm assurance that there is a demonstrable Divine Plan for the Universe. In

other words, before laying out the history of *Belial*, I want to assure you that nothing he and his followers might have done, or may be plotting, can undo the will of God for His creation (Romans 8:38-39, I Corinthians 15:12-28, Colossians 2:8, Revelation 20:11-13).

I contend that the very existence of spiritual Evil is evidence for a countervailing (and superior) Spirit of Righteousness, without which, the creation would long ago have collapsed into depravity. If wickedness were to proceed <u>unchecked</u>, it would destroy all opposition, for <u>Evil obeys no rules</u> (except when forced to), but <u>Righteousness is constrained by the Laws of God</u>.

So the survival of Righteousness in the world, despite this supposed disadvantage, is a testimony to its ultimate <u>supremacy</u> in the battle against *Belial* and his host.

Just as there is a Divine Plan, the household of *Belial* also has a plan: Its goal is to **steal** what belongs to humanity, to **corrupt** and to **kill** us, and to utterly **destroy** all that is good, if possible, even to bring down the very edifice of life itself (John 10:10, Matthew 24:22).

Oh, we've heard this before. Our ears have waxed shut from hearing (Matthew 13:15-6). Life goes on, and many people prefer to dismiss these warnings (II Peter 3:1-10).

Yet anyone who still recalls the horrors of Nazi Germany ought to attest that Evil is real and its agenda dire.

Still, if you think evil is just a random occurrence, ponder the guillotine frenzy of the French Revolution, when roughly 3.5 million Celtic and Huguenot Christians were butchered by the rebels of *Belial* and entire towns vanished in a night before their mobs of madness. Similar numbers of Christians were murdered in Armenia a century ago at the hands of the Moslems of Turkey. The Nazis butchered 14 million civilians, mostly Bible believers; Stalin starved 23 million. And 40 million innocents, a horrendous slaughter, have been ripped from their mother's wombs in the abortion mills of America alone.

The global totals of all the mass-murders of the post-"Enlightenment" modern era are known only to God.

Who could ignore the nightmare beheadings of ISIS? Or its boasting of the crucifixion of Christian children? Or the on-going gang-rape of Christian women and the sex-slavery of little girls that has spread throughout the Mideast, Africa, and is now entering Europe?

The atrocities have not ceased, but those who control the mainstream news media have grown bored with them and have moved on to cover the latest insignificant sporting events and other trivial distractions.

So the corrosive images of unrestrained Evil are allowed to sink into our collective unconscious, to fester and eat at our sense of what is decent and moral and permissible, until eventually, almost any atrocity may be tolerated and ignored as "normal."

Whatever social or political machinations may have generated these evils, can any person doubt that these horrors represent some persistent malicious impulse embedded deep within mankind, barely suppressed, until it erupts in mass bloodshed?

Yet why do some people submit to this dark urge, while others (so far) seem to be able to resist it?

Are we <u>all</u> capable of such gross evil? Or is it only lurking within the hearts of the **Sons of Belial**?

The answers, if they are written down, ought to be found first of all in the book that first warned us about *Belial*: The Bible itself.

As I said, the Bible contains 17 cryptic references to "Belial." Some theologians suggest Belial may simply be a "code-word" for Satan (II Corinthians 6:15). But "Sons of Belial," oddly, are said by the same interpreters to be just "worthless persons" (Strong's # 1100).

Beyond that, investigation usually stops, as if the implied idea that these "*sons*" of *Belial* may be actual "*Sons of Satan*" has no significance.

A few researchers have sought for deeper understanding. Some declare *Belial* to be <u>a half-angel</u>, or perhaps no angel at all, but a "devil," specifically, the spirit of a fallen <u>human</u> soul (Gustav Davidson, *A Dictionary of Angels*, Free Press, NY, 1971, p. 74).

Some call *Belial* the *Antichrist* or the *Prince of Trickery* or a demon of sodomy, hence, <u>bisexual</u> (*The Complete Book of Devils and Demons* by Leonard R. N. Ashley, Barricade Books, NY, 1996, p. 58).

Others say *Belial* was *the <u>male</u> version* of a *female* entity called *Belili* or *Baalith*, = **Baalette**, the wife of **Baal**. (Turner, below, p. 95b). If so, *Belial* had an evil twin <u>bride</u>.

She was also called *Ba'alit*, *Anat*, *Lamassu* or *Lilith*, and has been identified with the Egyptian goddess the Greeks called *Isis* (pp. 14a, 51a, 87b; 96b, 285b-268a, 291b).

The husband and twin brother of *Isis* was, as we know, *Osiris*.

Thus, **Belial** was another name for **Osiris**.

Now we are getting somewhere. The traditions about *Isis* and *Osiris* greatly enlarge our information about *Belial* and his bride...and their descendants.

Does the Bible have anything to say about *Isis* and *Osiris*?

As explained earlier, *Genesis 4's* text, the first mention of *Cain* and his "*wife*," uses the Hebrew words *Asar* and *Auset*, which are the actual original <u>Egyptian</u> names of the pair whom the Greeks later called *Osiris* and *Isis*.

Although *Auset* (*Isis*) is indisputably the word used to identify *Cain's* wife in the Bible, the word *Asar* is more controversial. It has an alternate meaning and is usually rendered "that thing" or "which" in Hebrew, even if it could also have been translated as *Osiris* in some of its possible *Cain*-related contexts (especially Genesis 4:11, 6:4, 7, 17).

It was a firm ancient tradition of the Jewish rabbis that <u>Cain was the son of Satan and Eve</u> (*Legends of the Bible* by Rabbi Louis Ginzberg, The Jewish Publication Society of America, Philadelphia, 1975, p. 54). Jesus and the Apostle John agreed that Satan's seed was Cain (Genesis 3:15, Matthew 13:25-39, John 8:44, I John 3:12). So *Osiris-Belial* is Cain, the half-human, half angel, son of Satan, whose sister *Isis/Auset* is *Belili*, that is, Lilith.

But *Revelation* says the *Beast* is *the <u>heir</u>, <u>firstborn</u> of Satan*, the Red Dragon (Revelation 13:1-4). So *Satan's* firstborn, *Cain*, is that *Beast*. *Cain* was cast <u>eastward</u> out of Eden, wandering earth until he came to Egypt. If he went any further, he returned to the Holy Land, to Eden. So he had to stop at Egypt, where he would be known as *Osiris*.

The Egyptian history of *Osiris* agrees. It says *Osiris* was <u>not</u> an Egyptian, but had migrated into Egypt with his family at the time of a great **famine**; he was a farmer like **Cain** and taught the Egyptians agriculture (*The Traveler's Key to Ancient Egypt* by John Anthony West, Quest Books, Wheaton IL, 1995, pp. 381-383). They begged him to stay and be their "god-king." They indicated his birthplace was due <u>east</u> of Giza, making it near **Petra**, the same area early Jewish Christian texts identified as the location where **Cain** (*The Book of Adam and Eve*, Book I-II) and his sister **Lilith** (Turner, p. 14a) were born.

Thus, *Belial* (Cain) was born near Petra, perhaps in the "*Kasneh*" or "*Treasury*" of the Egyptians (*Jordan, Past & Present*, by E. Borgia, Vision S.r.l., Rome, p. 31). So *Belial* wandered ever-eastward until he had to halt at Egypt, where his agricultural skills saved the Egyptians from a severe famine that *Genesis 4:12* implies he had caused.

**Belial**, as **Cain/Osiris**, fathered **Horus** and his sister **Bubastis**, from whom the Pharaohs reckoned their lineage. Moreover, sodomy had a key role in the **Osiris-Horus** story (**The Wars of Gods and Men**, Zecharia Sitchin, Avon Books, NY, 1985, p. 44).

Pagan "gods" also descend from *Cain/Belial. Belial's* Egypt was only the first of seven Pagan Empires, the seven heads of the *Beast* (Revelation 12:1-17, 13:1-15, 17:1-18).

Indeed, <u>all</u> the descendants of Cain listed in Genesis 4 would be "sons of Belial."

Being descendants of **Satan** and his firstborn, **Cain-Belial-Osiris**, <u>all</u> these rulers are reckoned *Nephilim* or fallen ones, giants and men of renown (Genesis 6:1-4). While the angelic aspects of the seed of **Cain** may have now been greatly diminished in his descendants, we are told that <u>it is still known to God</u> (Genesis 3:15, Matthew 13:25-39, John 8:44).

Because the sons of Noah married the daughters of Cain's line (Genesis 4:17-22, note the use of the present tense and the word "all"), those same fallen genes could have passed down into us all, both Jews and Gentiles (John 8:33-59, Romans 11:32). It is possible the progressive decline in the length of life of mankind in *Genesis* was a result of this genetic contamination of the original intended design of Adam and Eve. Note the parable of the wheat and the tares (a weed that looks like genuine grain). Jesus said:

"The kingdom of heaven may be compared to a man who sowed good seed (genes) in his field. But while people were sleeping (possibly a reference to *incubus* impregnation), his <u>enemy</u> (Satan) came and sowed tares (darnel = mutated genes?) also among the wheat, and went away. But when the wheat sprang up and bore grain, then the tares became evident also. And the servants of the landowner came and said to Him, 'Sir, did you not sow good seed in your field? How then does it have tares (mutated genes)?' And he said to them, 'An enemy has done this.' And the servants said to him, 'Do you want us to go and gather them up?' But he said, 'No, for while gathering up the tares you might root up the wheat with them. Let both grow up together until the harvest (when tares stand taller than wheat) and at the time of the harvest, I will say to the reapers, "Gather first the tares and bind them in bundles to burn them up, but (next) gather the (shorter, humbler) wheat into my barn."

"... The one who sows the good seed is the Son of Man (Christ), and the field is the world, and the good seed are the sons of the kingdom and the tares are the sons of the Evil One (= the Sons of Belial). And the enemy who sows them is the Devil, and the harvest is the end of the age and the reapers are the angels.

Therefore, just as the tares are gathered up (first) and burned in the fire, so shall it be at the end of the age: The Son of Man will send forth his angels and they will gather out of his kingdom all stumbling blocks (those who tempt, deceive or cause people to stumble) and those who practice lawlessness (who deny or defy divine authority = the Sons of Belial), and will cast them into the furnace of fire; in that place there shall be weeping (self-pity) and gnashing of teeth (anger and resentment). Then the righteous will shine forth in the kingdom of their Father."

(Matthew 13:24-30, 37-43)

If *Belial-Cain's* offspring married Noah's sons, or returned after the Flood to impregnate humanity, then **the household of Evil** is genetically still within us.

And that means some or all of the Bible's 17 references to the "**Sons of Belial**" may be intended to designate, at least at one level of meaning, men who were, are, or shall be, literal, physical descendants of **Belial-Cain**. And, **because they** <u>are</u> flesh and blood, the **Sons of Belial** can actually be burned up when Christ returns.

The "Sons of Belial" are described as <u>real</u>. And we may, in some way, all be their offspring. In that case, <u>we are all condemned unless God sends us a Savior</u>, which, of course, <u>is why the Messiah died for the sins and imperfections of the **whole** creation, in order to set us free from the Curse of being "Sons and Daughters of Belial." (Genesis 3:15, Isaiah 53:1-12, Jeremiah 31:27-37, Psalms 141, Proverbs 30:4, Matthew 9:13, Luke 24, John 1:1-13, 5:21-30, Colossians 1:12-28, Ephesians 2:1-22, Revelation 1:1-8, 3:14, 5:1-14, 12:1-17, 19:1-16, 22:1-21).</u>

So *Belial* is *the Antichrist-Beast*, Cain, <u>first</u> Son (or Egyptian "Head") of **Satan**. He must also be **Leviathan** (one of seven heads) who will ascend out of the sea, so that the eighth head can become the final *Image of the Beast*, the final manifestation of the *Belial-Antichrist*, who is to be cloned ("made") from the **Beast-Cain's** recovered DNA after God shortens the days (Revelation 8:12, 13:1, 14-15, 17:8).

**Satan**, therefore, has a sinister claim on mankind. He reckons himself our father and Jesus did not deny this (John 8:33-44). Note how Jesus tells the men who have come to accuse Him

that they are <u>both</u> Abraham's seed and sons of the Devil. But Jesus says they have made a **choice** between <u>which</u> of their two fathers they will obey:

"They responded to Him: 'We are Abraham's seed (descendents)...' Jesus answered them: 'Truly, truly, I say unto you, everyone who commits sin is a slave of sin (serves Satan)... <u>I KNOW YOU ARE ABRAHAM'S SEED</u>, yet you seek to kill Me... I speak the words I have seen with My Father; therefore, you also do the things that you have heard from your father.' They replied and said to Him: "Abraham is our father." Jesus said to them "<u>If you are Abraham's children, then do the deeds</u> of Abraham. But instead, you seek to kill Me. One who has told you the truth that I have heard from God: this Abraham did not do. You are doing the deeds of your (other) father.' They said to Him, 'We were not born of fornication (= we can prove we are legitimate sons of Israel); we have one Father, God.' Jesus said to them: 'If God were (spiritually) your Father, you would love Me, for I proceeded forth and have come out from God, for I have not come on My own initiative, but He has sent Me. Why do you not know what I am saying? Because you cannot bear My word. You are of your father, the Devil and seek to do the desires of your father: He was a murderer from the beginning (note: Cain, that is, Belial, was the first murderer; so Jesus is calling them Sons of Belial) and he does not stand in the truth because there is no truth in him. Whenever he speaks, he <u>speaks a lie, he speaks from his own (nature), for he is a liar, and</u> the father of lies..." (recall that Belial is also called the "Prince of <u>Trickery</u>": Ashley, p. 58) (John 8:33-44)

Although *Cain-Belial* was the <u>first</u> murderer and liar, as *Genesis 4* relates, at the summit of the household of Evil is *Cain-Belial's* <u>father</u>, the fallen angel **Satan**.

Who was Satan? And how exactly did he fall?

The word "Satan" is <u>not</u> a name, but a <u>title</u>, meaning "Adversary" or "Accuser" (*Strong's #* 7854, Revelation 12:9-13). Satan also had a personal name, which was blotted out of Scripture, but survived in Jewish tradition. His name was originally said to have been "Shamash-el," meaning "sun-like angel" (Davidson, pp. 261-262, 355).

But this name was later changed to "**Shamael**," which means "poisonous or <u>bitter</u> angel" (Davidson, p. 255a). *Why?* Obviously, if he had become "bitter" or *angry* over something, then it follows that he had <u>fallen</u> from a former position <u>and suffered a loss of his name</u>. What had been *his former status* from which he had <u>fallen?</u> Satan was, in theory, the angel of <u>Mars</u>, yet some Jews placed him on <u>Saturn</u> (Davidson, pp. 36, 43a).

He is regarded as having once been <u>one of the very highest angels</u> (p. 261). The name "Shamash-el" could be "sun-angel" (Dictionary of Ancient Deities, Patricia Turner & Charles Russell Coulter, Oxford University Press, NY, 2001, p. 323a). Shamash-el can also refer to Saturn or a now "missing" giant planet (a "fallen" angel) that once would have outshone all other planets in earth's night sky (The Saturn Myth by David N. Talbott, Doubleday, NY, 1980, p. 39; Dark Matter, Missing Planets & New Comets by Tom van Flandern, North Atlantic Books, Berkeley CA, 1993, pp. 156, 333-336, 401).

There was indeed a missing planet, said the late astronomer, Tom van Flandern (*ibid.*, p. 336). He calculated there was a second Saturn in what is now the asteroid belt, but it exploded, creating comets, asteroids, moons and a vast debris field (pp. 235, 336). He also postulated another lost planet, near the orbit of Mars, that was 10 times the mass of earth and had Mars as its moon (p. 336). He felt this other Mars-linked planet had also exploded, but very early in the history of the solar system (p. 333).

Could planetary legends of **Satan's** <u>fall</u> refer to ancient solar system upheavals?

Astronomers calculate a lost gas giant near Jupiter had been ejected from the solar system early in its history ("The Solar System's Lost Planet" by Andrew Grant, Discover, May, 2012, pp. 14-15; "The Search for Planet X" by Michael D. Lemonick, Scientific American, Feb., 2016, p. 33b). Some say a large rocky planet may have been cast out to the Kuiper Belt beyond Neptune, but remains in the solar system in a comet-like orbit (Lemonick p. 33b). Others cite growing evidence for two such rocky "super earths" out there (p. 36b). It is known that 99.9+% of all solid material once in the asteroid belt, several times the mass of earth, has been jettisoned out, mostly into the Kuiper Belt ("A New Century for Asteroids" by Richard P. Binzel, Sky & Telescope, July, 2001, p. 46).

So a giant planet may have once orbited nearer earth and may have had Mars-sized moons. Richard C. Hoagland, in *The Monuments of Mars*, supports van Flandern, arguing that the latest Mars data shows it was a moon of a now-destroyed giant planet.

A Mars-sized moon, *twice as big as any moon in the solar system today*, should be destabilizing if it orbited too close to its host-planet, especially if that planet was itself swinging

<u>out perilously near giant Jupiter</u>. The result might be like a bloated drunk (the gas giant) with a date (Mars), staggering into an immovable bouncer (Jupiter). The girl might be left inside as the bouncer shoves the drunk out the door (to the Kuiper Belt).

But in the case of a rapidly-rotating planet like Saturn (only 10 hours), any kind of bouncing around could destroy its core equilibrium and <u>cause it to split apart</u>. Add to this the force of even more rapid rotation caused by loss of lighter gases and the shrinking of the planet (van Flandern p. 333n). The resulting explosion could hurl chunks of the core deep into the Kuiper Belt. Clouds of debris would be swept up with the dense core material. But periodically, the two main halves of the exploded core would fall back into the solar system and hurtle past one another near the site of the original cataclysm.

Eventually the two halves could hit each other. It would not likely be a perfect head-on collision, but probably *a glancing blow*. Their orbits would then be changed, and one of the objects would lose enough momentum to bring it much closer to the earth.

We know planetary upheavals have been triggered by large-scale changes in our solar system: The accepted scientific model now says the planets are in orbits far from where they had originally been ("Roaming Giants," *Science News*, May 28, 2005, p. 340; "Kicking the Kuiper Belt" by Robert Burnham, *Astronomy*, March, 2004, p. 29; "The Search for Planet X" by Michael D. Lemonick, *Scientific American*, Feb., 2016, p. 33). Chaos upset the primal order, jostling apart the "cozy" early solar system, sending large planets careening into deep space, and triggering several violent upheavals and *collisions* (*ibid.*, cf. Jay Melosh in *Discover*, June, 2005, p. 72). This new consensus is *violent* ("Born of Chaos: New evidence suggests the solar system's early eras were defined by wandering worlds and staggering displays of interplanetary destruction" by Konstantin Batygin, Gregory Laughlin and Alessandro Morbidelli, *Scientific American*, May, 2016, pp. 28-37).

For example, patterns in the Kuiper Belt imply its icy worlds could have been elbowed aside by two or more earth-sized or larger planets ("Discovering the Edge of the Solar System" by Chadwick A. Trujillo *American Scientist*, Sept.-Oct., 2003 (vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 424-31). An earth-sized planet (possibly one of these Kuiper Belt disrupters) is thought to have collided with the giant planet *Uranus* and *knocked Uranus over, onto its side* (*Journey to the Planets* by Patricia Lauber, Crown, NY, 1993, p. 81).

Events like these generate vast clouds of debris that roam the solar system and periodically cause massive bombardments of the earth, the moon, Venus, Jupiter, and other planets and cosmic bodies ("Roaming Giants" by Ron Cowen, *Science News*, May 28, 2005, p. 340; "Meteorite hints at pounding of planets" by Ron Cowen, *Science News*, Sept. 23, 1995, p. 199; D. Whitmire, astrophysicist, news report, April 8, 2016).

If an angel had indeed been put "in charge" of a giant planet (or two) that went astray or exploded, he certainly would be "cast down" from his former estate and become "bitter." But is this all theory? Do we have any <u>direct</u> evidence of an *exploded* planet?

Comet dust proves that comets formed, not in deep-space cold, but in *blisteringly intense heat* ("Comet Sampler," *Science News*, March 25, 2006, p. 182). This is hard evidence for van Flandern's contention that an <u>exploded</u> Saturn-like planet would have produced comets (van Flandern, pp. 215-222; "Water from the Stars" by Alan Alford, *Unopened Files*, Autumn, 1999, pp. 16-17, 61). Also, he traced several comet orbits back to <u>a common origin site</u> in the asteroid belt (van Flandern pp. 218-220).

The same held true of the origin of the asteroids themselves, many of which show they originated <u>inside a large planet violently broken up</u> (Binzel pp. 44-51; Van Flandern pp. 215-217). Martian meteorites show that the inner solar system was bombarded with rocky debris *from a planetary source* <u>nearly 4-billion years ago</u> ("Meteorite hints at pounding of planets," *Science News*, Sept. 23, 1995, p. 199; cf. *Science*, June 13, 2014).

So there is smoking-gun evidence of exploded planets in our solar system. Our planetary neighborhood is <u>not</u> a quiet suburban array of orderly orbits, but a violent place battered by a series of cosmic high crimes and misdemeanors.

Accepting that they occurred, <u>when</u> did these violent episodes take place?

As just noted, the first of these bombardments has been dated 4-billion years ago, soon after the planets first formed, when it has now been calculated that a gas-giant planet was violently ejected by Jupiter (Grant, May, 2012, pp. 14-15).

There is a sound scientific reason for this timing: If a giant planet had indeed formed inside the orbit of Jupiter where the asteroid belt is now located, then it would have been very unstable. Jupiter's gravity is enormous; its mass is greater than all other objects orbiting the sun put together (Lauber, p. 59). A Saturn-sized planet trying to survive that close to Jupiter would have a very, very short life-expectancy.

Put differently, it was <u>inevitable</u> that any large planet in that location would be broken up or ejected by Jupiter's gravity. That is standard physics, and there is absolutely no dispute or controversy about it. Every astronomy book or periodical will confirm this (Lauber, p. 16). In fact, so ingrained is this dogma that it was difficult to believe that a planet could even <u>form</u> or spend any time in such an orbit near Jupiter (*The Universe and Beyond*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., by Terence Dickinson, Firefly Books, Buffalo, NY, 1999, p. 67 and "A New Century for Asteroids" by Richard P. Binzel, *Sky & Telescope*, July, 2001, pp. 46).

The second major explosive event in our solar system occurred about **600**-million years ago ("Venus Express finds lava flows" by Eric Betz, p. 19, and "Why did Venus turn inside-out?" by David J. Eicher, p. 9: *Astronomy*, Oct., 2015; "Groovy Science" by Ron Cowen, *Science News*, Nov. 19, 2005, p. 328-329, 332).

The reason for this second explosion is also straight-forward. If a large planet in the asteroid belt did explode, then its major surviving chunks would go into orbits that would inevitably result in its largest pieces hitting each other. Again, it is the unavoidable consequence of standard physical laws. That collision would generate a huge cloud of debris that would suddenly begin to pelt the planets and their moons, albeit long after the original explosion of the large planet.

Since we *know* a sudden bombardment did in fact occur **600-million** years ago, that itself is strong evidence for a cosmic collision event in our solar system at that time. And the simplest explanation to explain <u>both</u> the large **4-billion** year ago bombardment and the smaller **600-million** year ago bombardment is that the first event was the result of a large planet near Jupiter exploding and the second was the result of the major portions of that exploded planet eventually <u>colliding</u>.

There was a *third* major solar system disruption, a sudden change that took place about **3.2-million** years ago (van Flandern, pp. 155-162, 235). This was the beginning of a transformation of the earth's <u>climate</u>. We had been experiencing a 60-million-year hot, dry epoch, when we <u>abruptly</u> plunged into **3.2 million** years of **cyclical ice ages** (p. 157).

One proof of this event was the origin of <u>long-period comets</u> **3.2 million** years ago (pp. 159-160, 182-192, 217-222, 235: Van Flandern presented 29 scientific points of evidence in support of this thesis). The evidence shows that these long-period comets had actually formed "between <u>Uranus</u> and Neptune" ("Comets: Icy studies probe sunny behavior" by Ron Cowen, *Science News*, Aug. 13, 1994, p. 101; Lauber pp. 82-84).

This origin of long-period comets points to the collision of the earth-size object with *Uranus*. Where did this errant earth-size object originate? Chunks at least as large as earth could come from the core of a large exploded planet (van Flandern pp. 332-336).

The ancestral line of humanity is said to have begun about **3.2 million** years ago (p. 235). There is a case for the tilting of Uranus **3.2 million** years ago, if it was hit by a part of the exploded planet, although such a single collision was deemed less probable by Van Flandern (pp. 298-300). He also argued for a <u>third</u> missing planet, <u>triple the earth's mass</u>, passing by Neptune and disrupting its satellites and Pluto (pp. 307-314).

I propose only one: The collision in the asteroid belt **600-million** years ago of the halves of the exploded planet sent one of them near Neptune and Pluto. It changed its orbit after disrupting Neptune and eventually knocked over Uranus **3.2-million** years ago.

These events would sap momentum from the object, reducing its close approach to the sun from **250-million** miles (the region of the collision **600-million** years ago) to a perihelion nearer earth's orbit. Disturbing the earth by its close approaches, tilting our axis, and raining down icy comet debris on our planet, are all possible consequences of encountering this massive chunk of the exploded giant planet's core. Ice ages could follow such events, as in fact happened, starting abruptly about **3.2 million** years ago.

This game of cosmic billiards began when the first planet exploded, sending the halves of its shattered core out into space to generate thousands of asteroids, comets, and moons. Then, 600-million years ago, a secondary collision unleashed eons of cosmic bombardments when the two cores grazed each other. They changed their orbits, tilting Uranus, disturbing Neptune, destabilizing the sun, and launching a series of ice-ages that led to mankind becoming adept at survival, developing a large brain, learning to talk and share discoveries...and make weapons of war.

The whole epic pageant could be the result of just one initial explosive event.

What if that unstable original planet had been *put in charge of Satan*, *then an angel called Shamash-el*, *for the supposed purpose of preventing these cataclysms?* 

Satan apparently felt it was beneath him to baby-sit some chilly planet in a back-water solar system orbiting a boring galaxy on the outer fringe of its galactic cluster.

If **Shamash-el** had considered this assignment an <u>insult</u> for one who had helped to create the Universe itself, "when the Morning Stars sang together, and the Sons of God shouted for joy" (Job 38:7), then he might have neglected his duty and ignored the signs that his planet was becoming unstable. If he had located his headquarters on solid Mars, rather than on its frozenmush Saturnine host planet, perhaps he became distracted by his efforts at "decorating" his new Martian throne of supervision (Ezekiel 28:12-18):

Take up a lament over the King/Angel of Tyre (Mars), and say to him: Thus says the Lord YHWH: You seal the measure, full of wisdom and perfect in beauty. You have been in Eden, the Garden of God. Every precious stone was your covering: the ruby, the topaz, the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jaspers, the sapphire, the turquoise and the emerald and gold (a list of nine of the twelve zodiacal stones of the tribes of Israel):

The workmanship of your tabrets and of your pipes in you, in the day you were created, when (they) were prepared. You were the anointed cherub that covers (the throne). I had placed you upon the Holy Mountain of God: You were there! You had walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. You were perfect in all of your ways (original orbits) from the day you were created until iniquity (instability) was found in you. By the mass of your trade (transfers to Mars?), they filled your midst (core) with violence (tremors) and you sinned (went astray). So I cast you profaned from the mount of God (the cosmic cone of stars circling the Pole Star), and I destroyed you, O Covering Cherub, from among the stones of fire: Your heart had been swollen with pride by your beauty; you corrupted your wisdom by your (bloated, blinding) splendor (the explosion). So <u>I had cast you to earth</u>. I will lay you before kings/angels that they may gawk at you. By the host of your iniquities, by the iniquity of your trade, you have profaned your sacred sites. So I had brought out a fire from the midst of you (an object from his planet's molten core that shall return). It shall devour you, and I will give you for ashes upon the earth in the sight of all who behold you. All who know of you among the peoples shall be appalled at you. Terrors you shall evoke. And you shall not exist forever more." (Ezekiel 28:12-18)

The loss of his <u>first</u> status as one of the initial angels (Job 38:7: "Morning Stars") of the creation must have been a disappointment to Satan. God had intended his new job, his appointment over <u>Shamash</u>, the <u>second</u>, inner <u>Saturn</u>, to be a position of great importance. Perhaps the "official" Plan had been for this to be a base from which the earth was to be turned into the Paradise planet, teeming with life. Of course, God <u>knew Shamash-el</u> would <u>fail</u> in this second assignment and become a still-more bitter fallen angel. The other angels may not have known that this angel (and planet) had an unstable core, but God perceived the iniquity lurking inside of <u>Shamash-el</u> (Ezekiel 28:14).

Our solar system is so <u>unusual</u> among planetary systems that earth-like planets (*and life*) must be <u>rare</u>; for scientists say <u>a delicate balance of extremely unlikely chaotic events</u> was needed to produce it (Batygin, Laughlin, and Morbidelli, pp. 30-32). This odd mix is consistent with a Divine Plan adroitly fulfilled by anticipating an exploding planet.

After the explosion, **Shamash-el** had only **Mars**, the moon of his lost planet. Although he survived, he felt cast down a second time. On **Mars** he became an angry vengeful creature,

**Samael**, angel of bitterness. He gathered defiant angels to join with him in rebellion. The new science now makes sense of these Jewish legends of Satan.

The rabbis say their revolt was caused by the creation of **Adam**. Samael and the others regarded mankind as their potential <u>rival</u>, if not replacement (*Legends of the Bible*, Louis Ginzberg, Jewish Publication Society, Philadelphia, 1975, pp. 27-28, 33-34). When Satan sought to interfere with humanity by impregnating Eve, God demoted him a <u>third</u> time, making him the "Serpent" crushed underfoot (Ginzberg p. 54; Genesis 3:15):

Because you have done this, you are cursed ("polished" or "slippery") more than all beasts, more than all in the field. On your belly you shall go ("polished" or stripped of arms and legs, dismembered as his heir Cain/Osiris would be), and dust you shall eat ('out of dust' is mankind whom Satan may 'devour' or possess) all the days of your life. And I will put enmity between you and the woman, between your seed (Cain) and her Seed (the Messiah): He will bruise your head (Rosh = the heir, Cain), and you will bruise (nail) His heel (Jacob, his later Seed). (Genesis 3:14-15)

Notice all the word-play in this passage: Because *Satan* had been so clever, slick, and polished in his sexual seduction of Eve, God was going to show everyone how truly slick he had been (Satan manifested as a well-endowed giant so his offspring would be able to conquer Adam's sons: *The Book of Adam & Eve*, I:lxx:5): God turned *Satan* into a snake, a giant phallic symbol who would henceforth frighten women: So God ordered *Satan's* arms and legs cut off (Ginzberg, p. 42). Seth, the heir of Adam and Eve (her seed), would later also do this to *Satan's* firstborn seed and heir, *Cain/Osiris*.

Just as God said, the "head" of *Satan's* line, *Cain/Osiris*, was "bruised" first; then later, Eve's descendent would be "bruised" near the end ("foot" or "heel") of Eve's and Seth's line, in the house of Jacob, whose name means "the heel." The Messiah would be stripped naked and have His heel literally "bruised" with a nail in crucifixion. Moreover, this was said to take place upon a tree descended of the Fig Tree of Knowledge (p. 40), where *Satan* had seduced Eve, at the very gate of Paradise atop Olivet (*The Book of Adam & Eve*, I:lxviii:10-25, lxix:1-9, Matthew 27:50-54, Mark 15:37-39, Luke 23:43-49).

At the Crucifixion, that bitter angel, **Satan**, was cast down a **fourth** time: "Now shall the prince of this world be cast out" (John 12:31).

Satan has four more punishments ahead: He is cast down a fifth time (Revelation 12:9, 12-13), when Michael battles him and casts him down at the start of the Tribulation, and his planet, Mars, is cast down (Revelation 12:8). When Christ returns at Armageddon, Satan will be cast down a sixth time, into the Pit of the Abyss where his son Cain/Osiris had been (Revelation 20:1-3). After he is released and defies God with the war of Gog and Magog, he will be cast down a seventh time, into the Lake of Fire, the Second Death (Revelation 20:10).

His smoke (ash) then rises up, as it were, *cast out* by the Lake of Fire. So even Death itself does not want him (Revelation 14:11, 20:13). This ultimate destruction is his <u>eighth</u> punishment. From this fate, there is no escape, nor further downfall: "I will give you for ashes on the earth (after burning) ... You will exist no more forever" (Ezekiel 28:18-19).

We are told Satan and his angels know their fate and tremble at what awaits them (James 2:19). The knowledge of their grim destiny has led Satan and his wicked spawn to vow vengeance, seeking "only to kill, steal and destroy" (John 10:10). They go about the world in a rage, attempting to corrupt or possess anyone they "may devour" (I Peter 5:8).

With each casting down, Satan and his angels have grown more and more angry at God and at us. Their wrath began at Creation (Ginzberg p. 27), dissenting from God's Plan. After their next assignment exploded, they sulked in anger until a long epoch of ice and primitive life on earth ended **600-million** years ago, when advanced life began. As dinosaurs developed, some of Satan's angels may have possessed them, inspiring their dragon-reptile image. When mankind arose, it was jealously hated (p. 33). Satan launched a long war against humanity, using every conceivable deception (John 8:44, II Thessalonians 2:1-14).

Misery loves company. The household of evil seeks to enlarge the ranks of Hell. Jesus tells His disciples to be on guard at all times lest they be deceived (Matthew 24:24). Paul likewise warns us lest we fall prey to "the wiles of the Devil" (Ephesians 6:11).

But Satan's most sinister long-range strategy is to generate **Sons of Belial**.

Next time, Sons of Belial, Part II: The Nephilim



Note that these are <u>not</u> symmetrical decorations. The Symbols are all still in use and all as <u>alphabetical</u> letters. Except for the H and W symbols, all were used as Roman numerals. These symbols were inscribed about 6,000 years before the earliest Sumerian symbols. In other words, there was language symbolism in use at this site in Turkey at Gobekli Tepe more than twice as long ago as the supposed "first" use in Sumer.